

# FUTURE VOICE<sup>®</sup>



## COGNITIVE WARFARE

HUMAN RIGHTS | VOICES

MAGAZINE XII - 05 | 2023

*Simply the Truth*

# CONTENT

## **Cognitive Warfare | 6 - 14**

*Intro © Manuela Scharifiazad*

## **NATO's Innovation Of Mind Control | 16 - 22**

*Text © Peter Isackson*

### **Behind NATO's 'cognitive warfare':**

#### **'Battle for your brain'**

### **waged by Western militaries | 24 - 52**

*Text © Ben Norton*

*Canada hosts 'NATO Innovation Challenge'  
on cognitive warfare | 29 - 30*

*NATO-backed Canadian military officials discuss  
cognitive warfare in panel event | 31 - 32*

*NATO researcher describes cognitive warfare as  
'ways of harming the brain' | 34 - 38*

*NATO's cognitive warfare study warns of  
'embedded fifth column' | 40 - 45*

*Canadian Special Operations officer emphasizes  
Importance of cognitive warfare | 47 - 48*

*'Cognitive warfare is the most advanced form of  
manipulation seen to date' | 49 - 50*

*Canadian military officer calls on corporations to invest  
in NATO's cognitive warfare research | 51 - 52*

**Targeted Individuals (TI's):  
Covert Repression in the 21st Century | 54 - 92**

*Presentation submitted to the Senate Hearing on  
"The State of Civil and Human Rights in the United States", 2014*

*Text © Dr. Daniel Lebowitz*

*Overview | 55*

*State of Human and Civil Rights in the US:  
The emerging Police and Surveillance State | 56*

*The Program | 57 - 58*

*So, more specifically, what are the goals of the program? | 60 - 61*

*The Targets | 63 - 74*

*Relevant History:  
COINTELPRO, MK-ULTRA, STASI/ZERSETZEN Torture | 76 - 78*

*The Weapons | 80 - 87*

*Summary of the Program and its Implications | 88 - 89*

*What needs to be done about the Program? | 91 - 92*

**'TI's are owned and rented (human trafficked)  
to multiple entities for multiple purposes.' | 94 - 97**

*Text © Max Williams and Jeffery Bahry, TI Testimonies*



Artwork © Frank Heiler\_Internal War

# COGNITIVE warfare

## INTRO

Cognitive warfare is a form of warfare where the brain, the human consciousness, is the battlefield. This warfare strives for absolute control over the thought processes and emotions of each individual.

To control your perception of the world (of reality) and your actions down to the last detail is the objective of this warfare.

Anyone who has studied the subject of mind control knows that the instruments that allow such absolute control and steering have been tested and refined since the 1950s in a variety of secret, mostly inhumane projects.

Since 2015, the global market for 'non-lethal weapons' used for this purpose has exploded. With fanatical zeal, states around the world are competing for dominance and the most effective weapons systems in the field of 'silent' destruction of the human mind/consciousness.

A hybrid form of warfare is being elevated worldwide, with trillion-dollar budgets, to the military strategy of the future. It focuses on cognitive warfare in unity with economic, cyber, information and psychological warfare.

In a [NATO-funded Innovation Hub](#), the possibilities of cognitive warfare are discussed publicly on a broad scale, and the alliance with the private sector in cooperation is promoted.

Cognitive warfare is described as the “weaponisation of brain science” that involves “hacking the individual” by exploiting “the vulnerabilities of the human brain” in order to implement more sophisticated “social engineering.”

“Cognitive warfare seeks to change not only what people think, but also how they act,” the Canadian government wrote in its official statement on the NATO's Fall 2021 Innovation challenge.

The NATO justifies the need to turn humanity into remote-controlled robots through the non-regulated use of neuroscience and non-lethal weapons with the current threat posed by the use of such warfare by Russia and China.

Another 'good cop, bad cop' orchestration for the people of the world. We know only too well, at least since the 'Covid crisis', that behind this smoke screen – there is one force, a unified will. Starting with a military-industrial complex that controls the conflicts and maximises its profits, irrespective of which side superficially wins; directed by a small group that is willing to use any means to expand its power and subjugate humanity to its will. ...

The [NATO Warfighting 2040 Report](#) (2020) speaks a clearer language against this background. If you read between the lines, you will find the agenda/marching orders here, for the next 20 years.

*“The liberal democracy long seen as triumphant over the great ideological quarrels of the twentieth century is today seen as being in peril for not having been able to satisfy the people. The dominant liberal narrative since the end of WWII is losing ground and many countries and leaders reject the liberal system as a danger.”*

*“Democracy in particular is no longer seen as the ultimate form of government while at the same time order and authoritarianism is deemed to better cope with the dangers of a deregulated world.”*

A world that is being deliberately deregulated, especially since 2020, serves as a basis in this report; and as justification for the use of cognitive warfare. The establishment of a global autocratic system as a result of re-regulation seems to be a done deal.

And cognitive warfare is the ultimate weapon with which this transformation is to be carried out. Human free will, independent thought, feeling and action are to be eradicated for the sake of an ‘ordered’ world in which a small elite feels safer.

Free will is a divine gift that belongs to every human being. Cognitive warfare means waging a war against being human itself, outside of any constitutional limitation. Its weapons are invisible. It has no beginning and no end. It is directed arbitrarily against everyone. It is the instrument for the deification of the power position of a few in secret.



The NATO Warfighting 2040 Report comments as follows on the use of neuroscience for military purposes (Weaponisation of neurosciences):

*“Broad and rapid advancements in neuroscience and its technologies have prompted renewed and growing interest in the use of these tools and methods to exert influence and power on the global stage. While it has been said that everything could be weaponized, neurosciences and, more broadly speaking, Nanotechnology, Biotechnology, Information Technology and Cognitive Sciences (NBIC) are clearly providing state and non-state actors some true game changers.”*

A [Royal Society study](#) (Brain Waves Module 3: Neuroscience, conflict, and security, 2012) provides an overview of existing opportunities for neuroscience to manipulate humans.

According to this study, military neuroscience has “two main goals: performance enhancement, i.e. improving the efficiency of one’s own forces, and performance degradation, i.e. diminishing the performance of one’s enemy.”

DARPA (Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency) has proclaimed for two decades that “the human is becoming the weakest link in defense systems” and that “sustaining and augmenting human performance will have significant impact on Defense missions and systems.”

The most potentially consequential developments will be found in the area of neural interfacing and its efforts to bring the human nervous system and computing machines under a single informational architecture.

*“Electrode arrays implanted in the nervous system could provide a connection between the nervous system of an able-bodied individual and a specific hardware or software system. Since the human brain can process images, such as targets, much faster than the subject is consciously aware, a neurally interfaced weapons system could provide significant advantages over other system control methods in terms of speed and accuracy.”*

In other words, to strengthen NATO's 'Human Capital', the tools of neuroscience are to be used to create the transhuman super-soldier, who receives his commands to act directly programmed into the subconscious via software. In this way, human factors such as conscience are to be eliminated.

The enemy is to be destroyed by erasing / reprogramming the consciousness of its own population and armed forces. In 2012, DARPA launched a \$4 million research project called 'Battlefield Illusion' to research technologies that can “manage the adversary’s sensory perception” through an understanding of “how humans use their brains to process sensory inputs.”

The holy grail of military neuroscience is nothing less than the ability to hack directly into a target's perceptions and beliefs and reprogram them.



Artwork © Frank Heiler\_Samara

In this magazine we spotlight the 'warfare of the future' based on documents published in NATO's Innovation Hub. The article of Ben Norton (page 24) outlines in particular the study 'Cognitive Warfare' by Francois du Cluzel, head of the NATO Innovation Hub.

*Du Cluzel defined cognitive warfare as the "art of using technologies to alter the cognition of human targets."*

*Du Cluzel went on to explain that the exotic new method of attack "goes well beyond" information warfare or psychological operations (psyops).*

*"Cognitive warfare is not only a fight against what we think, but it's rather a fight against the way we think, if we can change the way people think," he said.*

*"It's much more powerful and it goes way beyond the information [warfare] and psyops.*

*De Cluzel continued:*

*"It's crucial to understand that it's a game on our cognition, on the way our brain processes information and turns it into knowledge, rather than solely a game on information or on psychological aspects of our brains. It's not only an action against what we think, but also an action against the way we think, the way we process information and turn it into knowledge.*

*In other words, cognitive warfare is not just another word, another name for information warfare. It is a war on our individual processor, our brain."*

Targets of cognitive warfare are called Targeted Individuals. In his presentation 'Targeted Individuals: Covert Repression in the 21st Century' (page 54), Dr Daniel Lebowitz gives a concrete insight into how cognitive warfare, non-lethal weapons and Zersetzen tactics work, with what objective they are used and what they do to humans.

*"To understand the Targeted Individual phenomenon, you need to understand several things about it. You need to understand the societal and political and human rights trends which allow it to occur. You need to understand what the program is. You need to understand what the goals and the purpose of the program are.*

*You need to understand who gets targeted. You need to understand where the program came from, in other words, compare it to counterintelligence programs and unethical human experimentation of the past. And you need to understand the implications of the program, and what it means for the future. Finally, we'll take a look about what must be done about it. ..."*

Cognitive hybrid warfare techniques have been tested and developed in covert operations on many people (including children) from very different backgrounds over the last 70 years.

Since 2012, we have seen these techniques being applied at an increasingly rapid pace to broader populations. In 2020, with the Covid crisis, the dam has been broken and the aim is now for the publicly legitimised use of these techniques on humanity worldwide.

Global companies, the private sector, are brought on board for this, with the promise that the protection of their rights to their intellectual property, their technical and neuroscientific inventions, is guaranteed.

So anyone who has the money and the technology is now allowed to experiment on humans without restraint and with impunity.

We close this magazine with the testimonies of the Targeted Individuals (TI's) Max Williams and Jeffery Bahry: 'TI's are owned and rented (human trafficked) to multiple entities for multiple purposes.'

***Text © Manuela Scharifiazad***



Artwork © Frank Heiler\_Within the gloom

# NATO'S INNOVATION OF MIND CONTROL

*Text © Peter Isackson*

Today's technology-oriented, media manipulated civilization has succeeded in imposing a degree of hyperreality capable of obscuring whatever remnants of reality still remain within the average person's field of perception. This requires a permanent effort to deviate attention from hyperreality itself. On rare occasions when hyperreality allows a glimpse of its own workings, it risks becoming either self-devouring or appearing as self-parody.

NATO has been facing an existential crisis ever since the end of the Cold War. To prove its continuing relevance, it has created a website called [Innovation Hub](#) that is so extreme in its promotion of hyperreality, it awkwardly calls attention to the absurdity that NATO has become. The hub defines its goal as the defense of an enlightened establishment that is permanently threatened by evil forces hiding behind a sinister curtain. The Iron Curtain that defined the Cold War has now yielded to a new Digital Curtain.



## US, NATO AND THE QUESTION OF RUSSIA

The hub wishes to simulate the meeting of great minds and become a “place where experts collaborate and design solutions meeting NATO challenges. By bringing together people with different backgrounds or perspectives, the Innovation Hub generates a better understanding of the issues, and fosters innovation.” It aims at no less than a collective epiphany.

This lede stressing open collaboration introduces the initiative’s true goal: “BOOST INNOVATION IN SECURITY AND DEFENSE.” The website encourages everyone to join in the constructive dialogue to defend a new entity it doesn’t bother to define. It isn’t the territorial integrity of Western nations or even the persistence of the neoliberal ideology that guides those nations. The innovative language they use to describe it reveals what it truly is: hyperreality.

The Innovation Hub seeks to develop “the New Third Operational Dimension, besides the cyber and the physical ones.” What can that be? Until very recently, war was essentially the physical activity pitting armies against armies on the battlefield. That dimension still exists, even if the trend is either to hide it from view by relying on drones or withdrawing troops from remaining battlefields.

More recently, the clamor produced by swords striking armor, bullets, bombs and buzzing drones has been complemented by cyberwarfare – the ‘Second Operational Dimension’. Potentially more harmful than physical warfare because it can be deployed everywhere and remains invisible during and after its effective strike on a target, cyberwarfare appears regularly in the news.

From force of habit or simply cognitive lapse, the media usually attributes identified examples of cyberwarfare to Russia, though occasionally also to China. Rarely does the media mention the true world champion of cyberwarfare – Israel. When Israel is mentioned, it is never with the intent to inspire fear.

The Innovation Hub seeks not only to prepare us for the upcoming ‘Third Operational Dimension’, but also to get us involved in building it. NATO seems to see this effort as a kind of social media dedicated to a truly hyperreal idea of defense and national security.

Scrolling down the page, we see our first hint of the centerpiece of this new third dimension with this explanation: “Cognitive warfare embodies the idea of combat without fighting. Mastering the cognitive domain constitutes a new major stake indispensable to the generation of combat power ... . Its very essence is to seize control of places, groups, units, organizations, and nations, by targeting and affecting the brains of their personnel, civilian as well as military.”

## CONTEXTUAL NOTE

Promoters of hyperreality find themselves faced with the monumental task of defining an elaborate belief system designed to cancel and replace the public's existing perception of reality. The concept of cognitive warfare is a perfect example. It corresponds to nothing that has previously existed in the real world. In all cultures, cognition and warfare have always belonged to two opposed spheres. One was the domain of the clerical class, the other of the warrior class.

The average person might naively think that the idea of cognitive warfare describes the kind of intellectual battles that have always taken place within academic disciplines such as philosophy, psychology, history and the sciences. Realism vs. idealism or the big bang vs. the stable state theory might be examples of cognitive warfare.

Thinking in those terms is the kind of mistake people who persist on basing their reasoning on visible reality might make. The hyperrealists on the NATO team offer the true definition of cognitive warfare: "In cognitive warfare, the human mind becomes the battlefield. The aim is to change not only what people think, but how they think and act." Instead of beginning with the reality of human cognition and exploring how knowledge may provoke conflict, they choose warfare (extreme conflictual violence) as their starting point. Knowing is subordinated to the need for physical aggression.

Traditionally, cognition refers to the human ability to apprehend reality. In its new hyperreal meaning, it signifies the acceptance of a substitute for reality. Cognition is the result of someone who can “seize control” of other people’s thinking. We might be tempted to think of it simply as hyper-propaganda. But instead of seeking to coax, persuade and influence, it seeks physical control.

It might justifiably be called the ‘great replacement’ (of reality). Alas, that term has been co-opted by white supremacists to express their fear that mongrel races will soon be overturning white Anglo-European civilization. There may even be an unacknowledged link between the two.

The language of this new and improved hyper-propaganda reveals the scope of its ambition: “Within the military, expertise on anthropology, ethnography, history, psychology among other areas will be more than ever required to cooperate with the military.” These innovative, generously collaborative minds have chosen to ‘require’ all the human sciences to align with military strategy. Isn’t that the bedrock principle of fascism?

The contrast they draw between first dimension warfare – dependent on bayonets, bazookas and nuclear bombs, built for an assault on human bodies – and the third dimension implies a shift toward a reliance on new technologies that include not only mass surveillance but the active control of human minds thanks to Big Data, artificial intelligence and virtual reality.

In their explanatory [documentation](#), the proponents of the third dimension, as they promote “a Critical Thinking Online course,” cite this fundamental priority: “Leverage technologies, including VR and AI to develop tools in support of better cognition and better decision making.” Sounds liberating, but this only becomes possible because “the ability to manipulate the human mind employing neurocognitive science techniques and tools is constantly and quickly increasing.”

Their message is simple: We must embrace a new generation of manipulation. “This complements the more traditional techniques of manipulation through information technology and information warfare, making the human increasingly targeted in the cognitive warfare.”

The NATO thinkers will object that their focus on this type of aggressive hyperreality is defensive. They have no intention to use it, only to combat adversaries who use it. But, as was the case in the Cold War arms race, there is a real enthusiasm for being the one with the most destructive force and the willingness to use it.

## HISTORICAL NOTE

The North Atlantic Treaty Organization was created in 1949, in the aftermath of World War II, to contain the Soviet Union's ambition to spread its influence in Eastern Europe – obtained thanks to the Potsdam Agreement – across the rest of Europe. In 1955 the Soviet Union responded to NATO's integration of West Germany by forming the Warsaw Pact. This concretized what British Prime Minister Winston Churchill had once metaphorically described as the 'iron curtain'.

After the dissolution of the Soviet Union in 1991, NATO's *raison d'être* disappeared. Instead of assuming that Europe, no longer divided into two ideological camps, could focus on engaging in peaceful construction, the Western powers decided not only to maintain NATO but to use it to stretch the tentacles of the Western economy into Eastern Europe. That kept alive the spark that led to today's new Cold War that still includes Russia.

But with China challenging Washington's global hegemony, things get even more complicated, requiring NATO to react in an appropriate way. In such a geopolitical environment, there is obviously no other choice than to establish a new hegemony built on hyperreality.

*Originally published: Fair Observer on October 20, 2021*



Artwork © Frank Heiler\_The Beneath

# BEHIND NATO'S **'COGNITIVE warfare':**

## **'BATTLE FOR your Brain'** WAGED BY western MILITARIES

*Text © Ben Norton*

NATO is developing new forms of warfare to wage a 'battle for the brain,' as the military alliance put it.

The US-led NATO military cartel has tested novel modes of hybrid warfare against its self-declared adversaries, including economic warfare, cyber warfare, information warfare, and psychological warfare.

Now, NATO is spinning out an entirely new kind of combat it has branded cognitive warfare. Described as the 'weaponization of brain sciences,' the new method involves 'hacking the individual' by exploiting 'the vulnerabilities of the human brain' in order to implement more sophisticated 'social engineering.'

Until recently, NATO had divided war into five different operational domains: air, land, sea, space, and cyber. But with its development of cognitive warfare strategies, the military alliance is discussing a new, sixth level: the 'human domain.'



A [2020 NATO-sponsored study](#) of this new form of warfare clearly explained,

*“While actions taken in the five domains are executed in order to have an effect on the human domain, cognitive warfare’s objective is to make everyone a weapon.”*

“The brain will be the battlefield of the 21st century,” the report stressed. “Humans are the contested domain,” and “future conflicts will likely occur amongst the people digitally first and physically thereafter in proximity to hubs of political and economic power.”

While the NATO-backed study (*Cognitive Warfare by Francois du Cluzel*) insisted that much of its research on cognitive warfare is designed for defensive purposes, it also conceded that the military alliance is developing offensive tactics, stating,

*“The human is very often the main vulnerability and it should be acknowledged in order to protect NATO’s human capital but also to be able to benefit from our adversaries’s vulnerabilities.”*

In a chilling disclosure, the report said explicitly that “the objective of Cognitive Warfare is to harm societies and not only the military.”

With entire civilian populations in NATO’s crosshairs, the report emphasized that Western militaries must work more closely with academia to weaponize social sciences and human sciences and help the alliance develop its cognitive warfare capacities.

The study described this phenomenon as “the militarization of brain science.” But it appears clear that NATO’s development of cognitive warfare will lead to a militarization of all aspects of human society and psychology, from the most intimate of social relationships to the mind itself.

Such all-encompassing militarization of society is reflected in the paranoid tone of the NATO-sponsored report, which warned of “an embedded fifth column, where everyone, unbeknownst to him or her, is behaving according to the plans of one of our competitors.” The study makes it clear that those ‘competitors’ purportedly exploiting the consciousness of Western dissidents are China and Russia.

In other words, this document shows that figures in the NATO military cartel increasingly see their own domestic population as a threat, fearing civilians to be potential Chinese or Russian sleeper cells, dastardly ‘fifth columns’ that challenge the stability of “Western liberal democracies.”

NATO's development of novel forms of hybrid warfare come at a time when member states' military campaigns are targeting domestic populations on an unprecedented level.

The [Ottawa Citizen reported](#) this September that the Canadian military's Joint Operations Command took advantage of the Covid-19 pandemic to wage an information war against its own domestic population, testing out propaganda tactics on Canadian civilians.

Internal NATO-sponsored reports suggest that this disclosure is just scratching the surface of a wave of new unconventional warfare techniques that Western militaries are employing around the world.



Artwork © Frank Heiler\_Hail to the king

## canada HOSTS '**NATO INNOVATION CHALLENGE**' ON COGNITIVE WARFARE

Twice each year, NATO holds a 'pitch-style event' that it brand as an 'Innovation Challenge.' These campaigns – one hosted in the Spring and the other in the Fall, by alternating member states – call on private companies, organizations, and researchers to help develop new tactics and technologies for the military alliance.

The shark tank-like challenges reflect the predominant influence of neoliberal ideology within NATO, as participants mobilize the free market, public-private partnerships, and the promise of cash prizes to advance the agenda of the military-industrial complex.

[NATO's Fall 2021 Innovation Challenge is hosted by Canada](#), and is titled 'The invisible threat: Tools for countering cognitive warfare.'

"Cognitive warfare seeks to change not only what people think, but also how they act," the Canadian government wrote in its official statement on the challenge.

*"Attacks against the cognitive domain involve the integration of cyber, disinformation/misinformation, psychological, and social-engineering capabilities."*

Ottawa's press release continued:

*"Cognitive warfare positions the mind as a battle space and contested domain. Its objective is to sow dissonance, instigate conflicting narratives, polarize opinion, and radicalize groups. Cognitive warfare can motivate people to act in ways that can disrupt or fragment an otherwise cohesive society."*

NATO-BACKED **canadian military**  
OFFICIALS DISCUSS  
**COGNITIVE warfare in Panel event**

An advocacy group called the NATO Association of Canada has mobilized to support this Innovation Challenge, working closely with military contractors to attract the private sector to invest in further research on behalf of NATO – and its own bottom line.

While the NATO Association of Canada (NAOC) is technically an independent NGO, its mission is to promote NATO, and the organization boasts on its website,

*“The [NAOC has strong ties with the Government of Canada](#) including Global Affairs Canada and the Department of National Defence.”*

As part of its efforts to promote Canada's NATO Innovation Challenge, the [NAOC held a panel discussion on cognitive warfare](#) on October 5.

The researcher who wrote the definitive 2020 NATO-sponsored study on cognitive warfare, François du Cluzel, participated in the event, alongside NATO-backed Canadian military officers.

The panel was overseen by Robert Baines, president of the NATO Association of Canada. It was moderated by Garrick Ngai, a marketing executive in the weapons industry who serves as an adviser to the Canadian Department of National Defense and vice president and director of the NAOC.

Baines opened the event noting that participants would discuss “cognitive warfare and new domain of competition, where state and non-state actors aim to influence what people think and how they act.”

The NAOC president also happily noted the lucrative “opportunities for Canadian companies” that this NATO Innovation Challenge promised.





Artwork © Frank Heiler\_Fury

NATO researcher Describes  
Cognitive Warfare as  
**'WAYS OF HARMING THE BRAIN'**

The October 5 panel kicked off with François du Cluzel, a former French military officer who in 2013 helped to create the [NATO Innovation Hub](#) (iHub), which he has since then managed from its base in Norfolk, Virginia.

Although the iHub insists on its website, for legal reasons, that the “opinions expressed on this platform don’t constitute NATO or any other organization points of view,” the organization is sponsored by the [Allied Command Transformation](#) (ACT), described as “one of two Strategic Commands at the head of NATO’s military command structure.”

The Innovation Hub, therefore, acts as a kind of in-house NATO research center or think tank. Its research is not necessarily official NATO policy, but it is directly supported and overseen by NATO.

In 2020, NATO’s Supreme Allied Commander Transformation (SACT) tasked du Cluzel, as manager of the iHub, to conduct a six-month study on cognitive warfare.

Du Cluzel summarized his research in the panel this October. He initiated his remarks noting that cognitive warfare “right now is one of the hottest topics for NATO,” and “has become a recurring term in military terminology in recent years.”

Although French, Du Cluzel emphasized that cognitive warfare strategy “is being currently developed by my command here in Norfolk, USA.”

The NATO Innovation Hub manager spoke with a PowerPoint presentation, and opened with a provocative slide that described cognitive warfare as “A Battle for the Brain.”

“Cognitive warfare is a new concept that starts in the information sphere, that is a kind of hybrid warfare,” du Cluzel said.

“It starts with hyper-connectivity. Everyone has a cell phone,” he continued.

*“It starts with information because information is, if I may say, the fuel of cognitive warfare. But it goes way beyond solely information, which is a standalone operation – information warfare is a standalone operation.”*

Cognitive warfare overlaps with Big Tech corporations and mass surveillance, because “it’s all about leveraging the big data,” du Cluzel explained.

*“We produce data everywhere we go. Every minute, every second we go, we go online. And this is extremely easy to leverage those data in order to better know you and use that knowledge to change the way you think.”*

Naturally, the NATO researcher claimed foreign 'adversaries' are the supposed aggressors employing cognitive warfare. But at the same time, he made it clear that the Western military alliance is developing its own tactics.

Du Cluzel defined cognitive warfare as the "art of using technologies to alter the cognition of human targets."

Those technologies, he noted, incorporate the fields of NBIC – nanotechnology, biotechnology, information technology, and cognitive science. All together, "it makes a kind of very dangerous cocktail that can further manipulate the brain," he said.

Du Cluzel went on to explain that the exotic new method of attack "goes well beyond" information warfare or psychological operations (psyops).

"Cognitive warfare is not only a fight against what we think, but it's rather a fight against the way we think, if we can change the way people think," he said.

*"It's much more powerful and it goes way beyond the information (warfare) and psyops."*

Du Cluzel continued:

*“It’s crucial to understand that it’s a game on our cognition, on the way our brain processes information and turns it into knowledge, rather than solely a game on information or on psychological aspects of our brains. It’s not only an action against what we think, but also an action against the way we think, the way we process information and turn it into knowledge.*

*In other words, cognitive warfare is not just another word, another name for information warfare. It is a war on our individual processor, our brain.”*

The NATO researcher stressed that “this is extremely important for us in the military,” because “it has the potential, by developing new weapons and ways of harming the brain, it has the potential to engage neuroscience and technology in many, many different approaches to influence human ecology ... because you all know that it’s very easy to turn a civilian technology into a military one.”

As for who the targets of cognitive warfare could be, du Cluzel revealed that anyone and everyone is on the table.

“Cognitive warfare has universal reach, from starting with the individual to states and multinational organizations,” he said.

*“Its field of action is global and aim to seize control of the human being, civilian as well as military.”*

And the private sector has a financial interest in advancing cognitive warfare research, he noted:

*“The massive worldwide investments made in neurosciences suggests that the cognitive domain will probably one of the battlefields of the future.”*

The development of cognitive warfare totally transforms military conflict as we know it, du Cluzel said, adding “a third major combat dimension to the modern battlefield: to the physical and informational dimension is now added a cognitive dimension.”

This “creates a new space of competition beyond what is called the five domains of operations – or land, sea, air, cyber, and space domains. Warfare in the cognitive arena mobilizes a wider range of battle spaces than solely the physical and information dimensions can do.”

In short, humans themselves are the new contested domain in this novel mode of hybrid warfare, alongside land, sea, air, cyber, and outer space.



Artwork © Frank Heiler\_In Vain

## NATO'S COGNITIVE warfare STUDY warns OF **'EMBEDDED FIFTH COLUMN'**

The study that NATO Innovation Hub manager François du Cluzel conducted, from June to November 2020, was sponsored by the military cartel's Allied Command Transformation, and published as a 45-page report in January 2021 ([PDF | Cognitive Warfare by Francois du Cluzel](#)).

The chilling document shows how contemporary warfare has reached a kind of dystopian stage, once imaginable only in science fiction.

"The nature of warfare has changed," the report emphasized.

*"The majority of current conflicts remain below the threshold of the traditionally accepted definition of warfare, but new forms of warfare have emerged such as Cognitive Warfare (CW), while the human mind is now being considered as a new domain of war."*

For NATO, research on cognitive warfare is not just defensive; it is very much offensive as well.

"Developing capabilities to harm the cognitive abilities of opponents will be a necessity," du Cluzel's report stated clearly.

*"In other words, NATO will need to get the ability to safeguard her decision making process and disrupt the adversary's one."*



And anyone could be a target of these cognitive warfare operations: “Any user of modern information technologies is a potential target. It targets the whole of a nation’s human capital,” the report ominously added.

“As well as the potential execution of a cognitive war to complement to a military conflict, it can also be conducted alone, without any link to an engagement of the armed forces,” the study went on.

*“Moreover, cognitive warfare is potentially endless since there can be no peace treaty or surrender for this type of conflict.”*

Just as this new mode of battle has no geographic borders, it also has no time limit:

*“This battlefield is global via the internet. With no beginning and no end, this conquest knows no respite, punctuated by notifications from our smartphones, anywhere, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.”*

The NATO-sponsored study noted that “some NATO Nations have already acknowledged that neuroscientific techniques and technologies have high potential for operational use in a variety of security, defense and intelligence enterprises.”

It spoke of breakthroughs in ‘neuroscientific methods and technologies’ (neuroS/T), and said “uses of research findings and products to directly facilitate the performance of combatants, the integration of human machine interfaces to optimise combat capabilities of semi autonomous vehicles (e.g., drones), and development of biological and chemical weapons (i.e., neuroweapons).”

The Pentagon is among the primary institutions advancing this novel research, as the report highlighted:

*“Although a number of nations have pursued, and are currently pursuing neuroscientific research and development for military purposes, perhaps the most proactive efforts in this regard have been conducted by the United States Department of Defense; with most notable and rapidly maturing research and development conducted by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) and Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA).”*

Military uses of neuroS/T research, the study indicated, include intelligence gathering, training, “optimising performance and resilience in combat and military support personnel,” and of course “direct weaponisation of neuroscience and neurotechnology.”

This weaponization of neuroS/T can and will be fatal, the NATO- sponsored study was clear to point out. The research can “be utilised to mitigate aggression and foster cognitions and emotions of affiliation or passivity; induce morbidity, disability or suffering; and ‘neutralise’ potential opponents or incur mortality” – in other words, to maim and kill people.

The report quoted U.S. Major General Robert H. Scales, who summarized NATO’s new combat philosophy:

*“Victory will be defined more in terms of capturing the psycho-cultural rather than the geographical high ground.”*

And as NATO develops tactics of cognitive warfare to “capture the psycho-cultural,” it is also increasingly weaponizing various scientific fields.

The study spoke of “the crucible of data sciences and human sciences,” and stressed that “the combination of Social Sciences and System Engineering will be key in helping military analysts to improve the production of intelligence.”

“If kinetic power cannot defeat the enemy,” it said, “psychology and related behavioural and social sciences stand to fill the void.”

“Leveraging social sciences will be central to the development of the Human Domain Plan of Operations,” the report went on.

*“It will support the combat operations by providing potential courses of action for the whole surrounding Human Environment including enemy forces, but also determining key human elements such as the Cognitive center of gravity, the desired behaviour as the end state.”*

All academic disciplines will be implicated in cognitive warfare, not just the hard sciences. “Within the military, expertise on anthropology, ethnography, history, psychology among other areas will be more than ever required to cooperate with the military,” the NATO-sponsored study stated.

The report nears its conclusion with an eerie quote:

*“Today’s progresses in nanotechnology, biotechnology, information technology and cognitive science (NBIC), boosted by the seemingly unstoppable march of a triumphant troika made of Artificial Intelligence, Big Data and civilisational ‘digital addiction’ have created a much more ominous prospect: an embedded fifth column, where everyone, unbeknownst to him or her, is behaving according to the plans of one of our competitors.”*

“The modern concept of war is not about weapons but about influence,” it posited.

*“Victory in the long run will remain solely dependent on the ability to influence, affect, change or impact the cognitive domain.”*

The NATO-sponsored study then closed with a final paragraph that makes it clear beyond doubt that the Western military alliance’s ultimate goal is not only physical control of the planet, but also control over people’s minds:

*“Cognitive warfare may well be the missing element that allows the transition from military victory on the battlefield to lasting political success. The human domain might well be the decisive domain, wherein multi-domain operations achieve the commander’s effect. The five first domains can give tactical and operational victories; only the human domain can achieve the final and full victory.”*



Artwork © Frank Heiler\_Chaos and the Abyss

canadian SPECIAL OPERATIONS OFFICER  
emphasizes  
**IMPORTANCE OF COGNITIVE warfare**

When François du Cluzel, the NATO researcher who conducted the study on cognitive warfare, concluded his remarks in the October 5 NATO Association of Canada panel, he was followed by Andy Bonvie, a commanding officer at the Canadian Special Operations Training Centre.

With more than 30 years of experience with the Canadian Armed Forces, Bonvie spoke of how Western militaries are making use of research by du Cluzel and others, and incorporating novel cognitive warfare techniques into their combat activities.

“Cognitive warfare is a new type of hybrid warfare for us,” Bonvie said.

*“And it means that we need to look at the traditional thresholds of conflict and how the things that are being done are really below those thresholds of conflict, cognitive attacks, and non-kinetic forms and non-combative threats to us. We need to understand these attacks better and adjust their actions and our training accordingly to be able to operate in these different environments.”*

Although he portrayed NATO's actions as 'defensive,' claiming 'adversaries' were using cognitive warfare against them, Bonvie was unambiguous about the fact that Western militaries are developing these techniques themselves, to maintain a 'tactical advantage.'

"We cannot lose the tactical advantage for our troops that we're placing forward as it spans not only tactically, but strategically," he said.

*"Some of those different capabilities that we have that we enjoy all of a sudden could be pivoted to be used against us. So we have to better understand how quickly our adversaries adapt to things, and then be able to predict where they're going in the future, to help us be and maintain the tactical advantage for our troops moving forward."*



'COGNITIVE warfare IS THE MOST  
**ADVANCED FORM OF MANIPULATION**  
seen to date'

Marie-Pierre Raymond, a retired Canadian lieutenant colonel who currently serves as a 'defence scientist and innovation portfolio manager' for the Canadian Armed Forces' Innovation for Defence Excellence and Security Program, also joined the October 5 panel.

"Long gone are the days when war was fought to acquire more land," Raymond said.

*"Now the new objective is to change the adversaries' ideologies, which makes the brain the center of gravity of the human. And it makes the human the contested domain, and the mind becomes the battlefield."*

"When we speak about hybrid threats, cognitive warfare is the most advanced form of manipulation seen to date," she added, noting that it aims to influence individuals' decision-making and "to influence a group of a group of individuals on their behavior, with the aim of gaining a tactical or strategic advantage."

Raymond noted that cognitive warfare also heavily overlaps with artificial intelligence, big data, and social media, and reflects "the rapid evolution of neurosciences as a tool of war."

Raymond is helping to oversee the NATO Fall 2021 Innovation Challenge on behalf of Canada's Department of National Defence, which delegated management responsibilities to the military's Innovation for Defence Excellence and Security (IDeAS) Program, where she works.

In highly technical jargon, Raymond indicated that the cognitive warfare program is not solely defensive, but also offensive:

*"This challenge is calling for a solution that will support NATO's nascent human domain and jump-start the development of a cognition ecosystem within the alliance, and that will support the development of new applications, new systems, new tools and concepts leading to concrete action in the cognitive domain."*

She emphasized that this "will require sustained cooperation between allies, innovators, and researchers to enable our troops to fight and win in the cognitive domain. This is what we are hoping to emerge from this call to innovators and researchers."

To inspire corporate interest in the NATO Innovation Challenge, Raymond enticed, "Applicants will receive national and international exposure and cash prizes for the best solution." She then added tantalizingly,

*"This could also benefit the applicants by potentially providing them access to a market of 30 nations."*

canadian military officer calls on  
corporations **TO INVEST IN NATO'S  
COGNITIVE warfare research**

The other institution that is managing the Fall 2021 NATO Innovation Challenge on behalf of Canada's Department of National Defense is the Special Operations Forces Command (CANSOFCOM).

A Canadian military officer who works with CANSOFCOM, Shekhar Gothi, was the final panelist in the October 5 NATO Association of Canada event. Gothi serves as CANSOFCOM's 'innovation officer' for Southern Ontario.

He concluded the event appealing for corporate investment in NATO's cognitive warfare research.

The bi-annual Innovation Challenge is "part of the NATO battle rhythm," Gothi declared enthusiastically.

He noted that, in the spring of 2021, [Portugal held a NATO Innovation Challenge](#) focused on warfare in outer space.


In spring 2020, the [Netherlands hosted a NATO Innovation Challenge](#) focused on Covid-19.

Gothi reassured corporate investors that NATO will bend over backward to defend their bottom lines:

*“I can assure everyone that the NATO innovation challenge indicates that all innovators will maintain complete control of their intellectual property (IP). So NATO won’t take control of that. Neither will Canada. Innovators will maintain their control over their IP.”*

The comment was a fitting conclusion to the panel, affirming that NATO and its allies in the military-industrial complex not only seek to dominate the world and the humans that inhabit it with unsettling cognitive warfare techniques, but to also ensure that corporations and their shareholders continue to profit from these imperial endeavors.

***Originally published: The Grayzone on October 8, 2021***



Artwork © Frank Heiler\_Unspoken

# TARGETED INDIVIDUALS:

## COVERT REPRESSION IN THE 21ST CENTURY

*Presentation submitted to the Senate Hearing on  
"The State of Civil and Human Rights in the United States",  
December 9, 2014*

My name is Dr. Daniel Lebowitz. I'm a medical doctor. Over the past two years, I have worked with a Human Rights organization called Freedom From Covert Harassment and Surveillance, or FFCHS. People in this organization state that they are being targeted by an illegal and unethical government program that represents a modern version of COINTELPRO and MK-ULTRA combined. These victims call themselves targeted individuals, or T.I.'s.

I have worked with the leadership of FFCHS, and I have worked with the medical committee as well as with the Board of directors. As a result, I have communicated with hundreds of self-described targeted individuals.

Additionally, I have worked with two other activist physicians on behalf of targeted individuals: Dr. John Hall and Dr. Terry Robertson. I have also worked with Dr. Robert Duncan, a scientist turned whistleblower, who states that he has worked on some of the very weapons systems that are used in the remote electronic harassment that many targeted individuals say they experience.

My presentation today is entitled: Targeted Individuals: Covert Repression in the 21st Century

## overview

To understand the Targeted Individual phenomenon, you need to understand several things about it. You need to understand the societal and political and human rights trends which allow it to occur. You need to understand what the program is. You need to understand what the goals and the purpose of the program are.

You need to understand who gets targeted. You need to understand where the program came from, in other words, compare it to counterintelligence programs and unethical human experimentation of the past. And you need to understand the implications of the program, and what it means for the future. Finally, we'll take a look about what must be done about it.

STATE OF HUMAN AND CIVIL RIGHTS  
IN THE US:

## **THE EMERGING POLICE AND SURVEILLANCE STATE**

In the United States, in short, we are seeing the emergence of a police and surveillance state. Former President Jimmy Carter recently wrote in the *New York Times*, “The United States is abandoning its role as the global champion of human rights.” In his article, Carter points out that top US officials are now openly targeting US citizens for political assassination, ‘disappearance’, unlimited surveillance, and other forms of gross human rights abuse.

Are people prepared to call a former U.S. President a conspiracy theorist? Or has the U.S. strayed far from its roots as a democracy where rule of law and human rights are uniformly respected?



## THE PROGRAM

What is the Targeted Individual program that these people are complaining of? It is many things all at once. It is a discrediting and disruption campaign, similar to COINTELPRO. In some cases (not all) it is a torture/trauma-based brainwashing and mind-control program like MK-Ultra.

It is a secret form of repression, persecution and psychological warfare, carried out in the community largely by regular people, along the lines of Stasi or Zersetzen torture. It is a political abuse of psychiatry, as has been carried out in many countries as a way to torture and discredit dissidents. Finally, it is unethical non-consensual human experimentation on unwitting subjects with advanced directed energy and psychotronic weapons systems.

Overall, it represents a coming together of the most vicious and effective tools and weapons for covert harassment and political control of dissidents, activists, whistleblowers, agitators, and other so-called 'undesirables' that the world has ever seen.

**What are the goals and purposes of the program?** Again, they are many. But, in a word, the neutralization of the target. Let's start with understanding the mindset of the state. President Obama's information czar, former Harvard Law professor Cass Sunstein, co-authored a paper in 2008 which advocated that the government should "cognitively infiltrate" and "disable" those who have ideas the government finds threatening, for example, 9/11 truth activists.

Now, at a minimum, this suggests running illegal COINTELPRO-type disruption campaigns against people. But, more interestingly, let's parse the words carefully: 'cognitively infiltrate' and 'disable'. **Cognition refers to the mind, or to thought. Infiltrate means to penetrate with hostile intent.**

So, literally, this means to penetrate the minds or thought processes of so-called 'troublesome' individuals, with the intent to disable them. Fascinating, in light of what targeted individuals say that they experience.



Artwork © Frank Heiler\_Mutilation

so, more SPECIFICALLY, WHAT are  
**THE GOALS OF THE PROGRAM?**

- Force the target to stop 'unacceptable' activity (whistleblowing, activism, exposing corruption, etc.). Silence the target both about their original activism and about their targeting.
- Subject target to noise campaigns, swarming, colors, or repetitive behaviors as a form of harassment.
- Attack any psychological weaknesses. Cause target to blame themselves for their targeting and as such destroy the will to fight back.
- Create a track record of so-called 'mental illness', useful for both discrediting and plausible deniability.
- Discredit the target.

- Isolate the target from all forms of support using secret notifications, slander, and covert harassment carried out largely by regular people.
- Encourage the target to lose hope and lash out in suicidal or homicidal rage secondary to covert harassment, and destruction of the target's life.
- Set target up for possible institutionalization or arrest.
- Damage or ruin the career and/or finances. In some cases, break up the family. In some cases, cause the target to lose their home.
- After breaking the target's will to fight, in some cases, attempt to change the mindset, politics, ethics of the target. Create a mindset more useful to the state. Evaluate the potential for corruption or even recruitment.
- **To summarize: the goal is the neutralization of the target. Exactly the goal pursued by the former COINTELPRO.**



Artwork © Frank Heiler\_Soloist

## THE TARGETS

Ok. So, who gets targeted by this program? There's a lot of overlap with COINTELPRO. COINTELPRO targeted "Perceived threats to the Established Political and Social Order." Which, in practice during COINTELPRO meant primarily those pursuing greater social and economic equality, peace activists, dissidents, so-called 'unfriendly' politicians, and even non-conformists.

In some cases, it can be proven that counter-intelligence operations have been undertaken against those aware of high-level criminality or wrongdoing. Like everyone else, many targets have weaknesses, foibles, flaws, problems, etc. Some have addictions. Any perceived weaknesses (manufactured, real, or exaggerated) are used viciously to aid in the discrediting of the target.

Let's learn from some actual examples of people who became targets for covert operations:

**Thomas Drake**, NSA Whistleblower: Forced out of his job, blacklisted, financially ruined, forced to defend himself against criminal charges, placed under physical and electronic surveillance.

**Jean Seberg**. Actress and civil rights activist. Supporter of the Black Panther Party. Experienced FBI Surveillance, harassment, stalking, break-ins, intimidation, defamation and discrediting. Victim of a false FBI story that she was pregnant by a Black Panther Party member, while married to a white husband. The stress of this caused premature delivery of her baby, which died at 2 days of age. The baby was white. Eventually committed suicide.

**Arnold Lockshin**. A Cancer researcher and supporter of socialism. Experienced surveillance, harassment and threats by strangers, break-ins, psychological warfare, implied death threats through the 1970's and 1980's. Eventually sought asylum from Russia and was immediately fired, blacklisted, accused in retrospect of mental instability and deteriorating work performance. Notably, reported that even his children's classmates and his own father had been brought into a Stasi-like campaign against him and his family. Wrote a book about the ordeal in 1988 called '*Silent Terror: One family's history of political persecution in the US.*' The book was never published or distributed in the US. On the web: *ArnoldLockshin.Wordpress.com*. By the way, his work performance and mental stability in Russia are apparently just fine.



**Adrian Schoolcraft.** Blew the whistle on corruption, wrongful arrests, arrest quotas, and the stop and frisk program within the NYPD in 2009. Received on the job harassment and was shunted to the NYPD psychologist. Within 3 weeks after reporting corruption he was involuntarily committed to a psychiatric ward, handcuffed to a bed and prevented from using a telephone. He was portrayed as paranoid during his hospital stay, which lasted 6 days. After discharge, he was suspended from the force without pay. Tapes he had made were eventually reported by the *New York Times* and others and he has been largely vindicated.

**Dr. Lawrence Doerr.** Orthopedic surgeon. As reported in the *NY Times*, wrote an open letter to fellow surgeons in 2008 warning about a flawed hip prosthesis. Subsequently became the target of a whisper-campaign that questioned his skills and competence as a surgeon.

**Russell Roderick.** Insulted a powerful, politically connected firm by refusing continued employment. Unclear if he was aware of high-level wrong-doing. Has been the target of a 25 year, multi-national campaign (you will find that these programs are multi-national and follow targets wherever they go) including slander, character assassination, allegations of incompetence, paranoia, drug-addiction, sexual deviance, and being “a deranged, suicidal maniac.” Has experienced blacklisting, financial devastation, isolation, stalking and overt surveillance, street theater, telephone/computer and mail tampering, Stasi-like manipulation of people into a campaign against him, death threats, intimidation, harassment, and a shut-down of all avenues of support. He refers to this as Zersetzen torture. On the web: [zeretzen.wikispaces.com](http://zeretzen.wikispaces.com).

**Greenham Common Women's Peace Protestors.** In the 1980's, were protesting the presence of US cruise missiles at an English air force base. Came under microwave weapon attack in 1984. Scientists from *Electronics Today* demonstrated the presence of electromagnetic waves. Dr. Robert Becker, twice nominated for the Nobel prize, found their symptoms were consistent with exposure to a microwave weapon. Every time a cruise missile convoy was ready to drive by, these women were experiencing severe headaches and unbearable fatigue, etc.

**Andy Lewis and friends.** A group of former British soldiers. Became targets of full-on gang stalking in 1996 after attempts to draw attention to what they viewed as an unethical experimental vaccine program that had been given to Gulf War soldiers in 1991. These men have experienced blacklisting, covert harassment, directed energy and psychotronic weapons attacks, overt and covert surveillance, stalking, secret notifications, etc. They have made two excellent websites: *targeted-individuals.com* and *gang-stalking.com*.

**A long list of 9/11 truth activists** have reported being targeted with electromagnetic weapons and death threats. Some have died under suspicious circumstances. US Army Major Doug Rokke, PhD physics from University of Illinois, former head of the US Army depleted uranium cleanup project after Gulf War I, says these weapons are very real, and commonly used in military circles. He has described how he personally used such weapons on a regular basis while training with Special Forces at US Army facilities: “We had them van-mounted, truck-mounted, plane-mounted, and hand-carried. We would go around zapping each other for fun. This was during exercises, or sometimes just as a practical joke.”

Rokke further stated that, based on his firsthand knowledge of US military mind-set and capabilities, 9/11 truth activists have undoubtedly been targeted by exotic non-lethal (and lethal) weapons. Remember again Cass Sunstein, who openly advocated cognitive infiltration and disabling of 9/11 truth activists. Is he talking about psychotronic weapons attacks?



Artwork © Frank Heiler\_Insomnia's kiss

**Jill Hansen.** Professional surfer, model and entrepreneur. She received wide acclaim for her performance in a TED speech in 2010 about her spiritual values and altruistic beliefs, Entitled 'Open Mind, Open Heart'. In the talk she espouses values including compassion, honesty, integrity, generosity, belief in God, charity, hope, faith and love. She concludes by stating that the world would be a more beautiful place if we all thought this way.

Within weeks after this talk, which received a lot of publicity, she found herself the victim of stalking and electronic harassment. Fast forward a few years. Unfortunately, In May 2014, she was charged with attempted homicide for allegedly running over a woman intentionally with her car.

TI's report that attempting to get them to act out inappropriately with strangers is a common protocol. A couple interesting facts: 1) none of the news stories mention that she considered herself a TI and 2) Interestingly, The local Neighborhood Watch Group's 500 members had been alerted about Hansen – supposedly for reckless driving. A representative of the group was reported as saying, "We need everybody to be on the lookout for her, it's that scary." So here we have someone reporting being a victim of organized stalking, and it turns out that – HELLO – there was a group of at least 500 people deliberately on the lookout for her.

**Ted Gunderson.** Worked for the FBI from 1950 - 1979. Former head of the Los Angeles FBI, where he was in charge of 700 personnel and had a budget of over 22 million dollars. In 1979 he was one of a handful interviewed for the job of FBI director, which ultimately went to William H. Webster. He retired and started a private investigation firm. He became a whistleblower and eventually filed an affidavit in support of attorney and targeted individual Keith Labella in his FOIA request from the FBI regarding gang stalking.

Gunderson explained: "It is my professional opinion based on information, knowledge and belief that the information sought by Mr. Labella in this FOIA suit regarding gang stalking ... reasonably describes an ongoing, active, covert, nationwide program that is in effect today and ... has been in effect since at least the 1980's ... (and) has increased in scope, intensity and sophistication by adapting to new communications and surveillance technology."

As a whistleblower, Gunderson was himself targeted. He experienced whisper campaigns, surveillance, phone tapping, computer hacking, poisonings, group stalking, aerial stalking and more. Stated that based on his experience, victims are targeted for a variety of reasons including government and corporate whistleblowers, parties to financial and employment disputes, parties to marital disputes (usually divorced women), and even jilted paramours. Journalists covering controversial issues, and, even attorneys and private investigators representing unpopular clients or interests. Gunderson's affidavit can be viewed on the internet, and in my opinion, is a fascinating read.

**William Binney** – An NSA whistleblower. Has stated he is well-aware of mind control technologies.

**There has been a steady increase of mass shootings** every year since 2000 from 5 per year until now, about 16 per year. Many of them were complaining of electronic harassment and/or organized stalking, stating that this led them to attack. This is being largely ignored and/or covered up by the media. Does a faction of our government actually want gun violence in the US, perhaps as a pretext to reversing gun rights, or even individual rights in general? **Let's discuss 3 mass shooters.**

**Jiverly Wong** – Chinese Immigrant. Reported being targeted with covert harassment for 18 years. Reported experiencing: stalking, harassment, chemical attacks, nausea, shortness of breath, job harassment and job loss, spreading of rumors, phantom touching at night while sleeping, home entry and theft of funds from his home, vehicular stalking and harassment, electronic body shocks. Became a mass shooter of 14 people including himself. Blamed his harassers for the killings.

**Paranoid? Or victim of a high-tech covert operation that he could not even begin to understand?** Let's not forget that some goals of COINTELPRO included trying to get people to commit suicide (e.g. MLK) or trying to get people to commit serious crimes (e.g. Black Panther Party members) in order to discredit and destroy them.

**Aaron Alexis** – Ex-Navy veteran. Navy yard shooter. Contacted FFCHS stating he was under ELF weapons attack and was being stalked and surveilled, and he believed this was at the hands of the Navy. Interesting things about his case. 1) Although he corresponded with FFCHS, thus identifying himself as a TI, and stating his belief that the Navy was attacking him, the FBI after investigating and speaking with FFCHS Board members, insisted that he was a random shooter with no motive. 2) A heavily armed SWAT team was in the vicinity of the Navy Yard when Alexis started his shooting rampage and was on site within 5 minutes. Inexplicably, they were ordered to stand down and leave the area. The shooting rampage subsequently went on for 45 minutes.



**Myron May.** A promising, young black attorney. Worked first for a well-known law firm and subsequently trying to help disadvantaged children. Cared about people and about God. Reported being recently targeted with directed-energy weapons and law enforcement harassment. Decided to draw attention to the abuse by mailing packages of information to 10 people, shooting people, and 'suicide by cop'. He made his goal clear by ending his letter saying, "what targeted individuals need more than anything is media attention." These packages were confiscated by Federal agents. His suicide note described, "financial, emotional, and psychological pain .... a living hell" inflicted upon him as a targeted individual.

He stated: "Our government is able to capitalize on (the) lack of knowledge among the general population to curb sentiments toward questioning the mental health of targeted individuals rather than admitting the truth: that there is a system of covert torture of ordinary innocent citizens that is happening within our borders." He believed there was no hope for him and so he stated, "Consequently, I am making a sacrifice so that others in my same position might have a chance at a normal, harassment-free life." He shot three people. None of them died. I wonder if, even when driven to extremes by secret government torture, whether he was still too moral to bring himself to kill ... .

Ok, so we know who it happens to. We know this is the most sophisticated take-down program the world has ever seen. So, where do all these tactics and techniques come from? Well, as I mentioned before, a look at historical programs is highly instructive here.



Artwork © Frank Heiler\_Betrayal

## RELEVANT HISTORY: **COINTELPRO,** **MK-ULTRA, STASI/ZERSETZEN TORTURE**

**So – COINTELPRO.** Known tactics included: Discrediting, smearing, character assassination. Covert campaigns to destroy interpersonal relationships, Harassment, Conspicuous surveillance (also known as stalking), anonymous letters and phone calls, IRS tax audits, legal harassment, Manipulation or strong-arming of parents, employers, landlords, school officials and others to create problems for targets. Threats, intimidation, surreptitious home searches and 'black bag' jobs, vandalism, Constant surveillance.

**MK-ULTRA** features and goals included: Create a subject who is easier to control and manipulate, create programmed assassins, develop more effective means of torture and interrogation, break down the personality of the subject and insert new belief systems, ethics, politics, personality traits; performed on unwitting and unwilling subjects; manipulate mental states and alter brain function; surreptitious drugging; isolation; verbal and sexual abuse; various forms of torture employed; promote illogical thinking and impulsiveness in the subject so that they will be discredited in public; attempt to produce amnesia for periods of time; surreptitious production of shock or confusion in subject over extended periods of time; attempt to alter the subject's personality to become dependent on the tormentors; attempt to lower the subject's ambition and work efficiency; attempt to impair eyesight and/or hearing; attempt to activate specific behavior by remote means.

**Stasi tactics, also known as Zersetzen torture**, included such features as: secret persecution, secret methods of control and manipulation, involved even the personal relationships of the target, extensive use of unofficial collaborators – also known as regular people; used the State's influence to turn public and private institutions against the target, psychological attack intended to deprive the target of the ability to mount hostile political action, often causes irreversible damage to the target, attempt to gain influence over the target in such a way that undesirable attitudes and beliefs would be slowly changed to more preferable traits over time, Attempt to cause fragmentation, paralysis, disorganization and isolation of target, Attempt political and ideological 're-education', used in situations when judicial procedures are not convenient for political reasons, a.k.a. extrajudicial punishment,

attempts to frame or entrap targets, slander/character assassination involving some true and some false, but always degrading information, orchestrating a series of social and professional failures in order to damage self-confidence, creation of doubts about future, stimulation of mistrust or paranoia, exploitation of target's personality weaknesses, addictions etc., shaming due to the spreading of rumors to those around the target, overt and covert surveillance, intercepting mail, calls, etc., tampering with property and vehicles, poisoning the food and tampering with medications, entering the residence and leaving traces of evidence in order to threaten or intimidate the target by adding removing or modifying objects.

The items on these lists will sound very familiar to targeted individuals. Nearly all of them apply to the current program. And nearly all of them are reported by Targeted Individuals. I have no reason to not believe them.

Now, add in the use of advanced directed energy and neuro-weapons, and you have a very potent takedown program.



Artwork © Frank Heiler\_Sacrifice

## THE WEAPONS

Ok, so at this point we have a pretty good understanding of the program. But there's one more thing that needs to be understood about this program. The advanced weaponry that is being used.

### **Evidences/Examples:**

**The Moscow Signal:** low-power microwave beams were directed into the US embassy for more than two decades, from 1953 until 1976. Discovered in 1962, US scientists studied the signal until the 1970's before finally telling the diplomats it was there, and offering them hazard pay. Many got sick, some died. Was not exposed to the public until 1976 when unearthed by an investigative reporter. This led to DoD's ARPA Project Pandora.



**From 1965 through to 1970, Defense Advanced Projects Research Agency (DARPA),** with up to 70-80% funding provided by the military, set in motion operation PANDORA to study the health and psychological effects of low intensity microwaves with regard to the so called 'Moscow signal'. This project was quite extensive and included (under US Navy funding) studies demonstrating the ability to: induce heart stoppage, create leaks in the blood brain barrier, and production of auditory hallucinations. Nervous system function could easily be degraded with properly pulsed signals.

Memoranda of Richard Cesaro, Director, DARPA, confirmed that the program's initial goal was to "discover whether a carefully controlled microwave signal could control the mind." Cesaro urged that further studies be made "for potential weapons applications." This was 1970, and very specific neurological and physiological weapons capabilities of microwaves had already been recognized.

**Jose Delgado** – the scientist who stopped the charging bull by remote control. Dr. Jose Delgado's secret work in Project Pandora was directed towards the creation of a "psycho-civilized" society. In his paper 'Intracerebral Radio Stimulation and recording in Completely Free Patients', using radio waves, Delgado observed that: "Radio Stimulation on different points in the amygdala and hippocampus in the four patients produced a variety of effects, including pleasant sensations, elation, deep thoughtful concentration, odd feelings, super relaxation, colored visions (hallucinations), and other responses."

Speaking in 1966, Delgado asserted that his research "supported the distasteful conclusion that motion, emotion and behaviour can be directed by electrical forces and that humans can be controlled like robots by push buttons." Delgado stated that EM weapons were "more dangerous than atomic destruction. With knowledge of the brain, we may transform, we may shape, direct, roboticize man. I think the great danger of the future is that we will have roboticized human beings who are not aware that they have been roboticized." He created a brain transponder that was IN FACT used to roboticize human subjects.

**Dr. Ross Adey**, formerly of the Brain Research Center at the University of Southern California, worked on the CIA's infamous Pandora project. His research involved inducing of specific behavior modifications by electromagnetic means. In his pioneering work, Dr. Ross Adey determined that emotional states and behavior can be remotely influenced merely by placing a subject in an electromagnetic field. He also demonstrated that EM radiation, properly modulated and pulsed, can induce calcium efflux events to interfere with brain's function – the so-called 'confusion weaponry'. Again, this is by 1970.

**Lawrence Pinneo**, a neurophysiologist and electronic engineer working for Stanford Research Institute (which is a leading military contractor), developed (in 1974) a computer system capable of reading a person's mind. It correlated brain waves on an electroencephalograph (EEG) with specific commands.

**Dr. Eldon Byrd**, a Navy medical engineer with a graduate degree from George Washington University, worked on the Polaris weapon system as an engineer, worked for Naval Surface Weapons Office, was tasked in 1980 - 1981 by the US Marine Corps as Project manager to develop non-lethal electromagnetic weapons for purposes including 'riot control', clandestine operations and hostage removal. Worked on ELF, non-linear magnetics. He worked with Ross Adey, Dr. Elizabeth Roscher, Michael Persinger on the ability to entrain human brainwaves at a distance. And he said, "We accomplished it." 1980.

His project went dark after that. It was taken away from him. He had it confirmed from a senator – Senator Pell – confirmed for him that his project went dark. Byrd was quoted in a lecture around 2001 as saying, "Is Mind Control Possible? Absolutely. There is a mountain of evidence." He went on to say that, "Today we know there are technologies that can induce sound into the brain at a distance, can monitor and alter brainwaves at a distance, can alter behavior at a distance, can induce images into the brain at a distance, can target individual organs at a distance. Can disrupt the calcium ions binding on individual cell surfaces at a distance, creating pain and other effects anywhere in the body. Mind control technology exists, without a question." Less than a year later, Dr. Byrd was dead. Maybe it was a coincidence.



Artwork © Frank Heiler\_The Fall of Reason

**A 1980 NASA document** (NASA abstract Report Number: AD-A090426, June 1, 1980) described that one can remotely create the perception of noise in the heads of personnel by exposing them to low power, pulsed microwave ... . By proper choice of pulse characteristics, intelligible speech may be created. 1980. Yes, 1980.

For further documentation, I recommend the following webpage: <https://educate-yourself.org/mc/listofmcsymptoms05jun03.shtml>. This web-page documents that there is truly a mountain of evidence about these terrifying weapons. Some highlights include the PROVEN capabilities to induce false memories in the brain, Subliminal command implantation into the brain to modify behavior (including suppressing dissidents).

**Finally.** In July 1996, *The Spotlight*, a widely circulated right-wing U.S. newspaper, reported that well-placed DoD sources have confirmed a classified Pentagon contract for the development of “high-power electromagnetic generators that interfere with human brain waves.”

Dr. Emery Horvath, a professor of physics at Harvard University, has stated in connection to these generators, "These electronic 'skull-zappers' are designed to invade the mind and short circuit its synapses ... in the hands of government technicians, it may be used to disorient entire crowds, or to manipulate individuals into self-destructive acts. It's a terrifying weapon."

To quote José Delgado in his book *Physical Control of the Mind: Toward A Psychocivilized Society*, p. 116: "Individuals whose brain centers are electrically stimulated believe their evoked actions are their own ideas; their conscious mind rationalizes the evoked actions away. People experiencing this electrical stimulation aren't consciously aware of an external influence."

**In summary, these weapons have the ability to mentally and physically torture people, and to influence human psychological behavior. With, or even WITHOUT the target's knowledge or awareness.**

## **summary of the Program** and ITS IMPLICATIONS

In summary, we have a program which includes the earmarks of past programs including COINTELPRO and MK-Ultra. It also uses collaboration of regular people to carry out much of the harassment, similar to Stasi or Zersetzen torture of the prior East Germany. Furthermore, it uses advanced neuro-weapons to mentally and physically torture victims from a distance – whether in their homes, workplaces, or wherever they may go.

The goals of the program are many, but ultimately boil down to torture, control, discrediting, and neutralization – exactly the same goals as the prior COINTELPRO, MK-ULTRA, and Stasi or Zersetzen torture. While some victims may be chosen at random, many cases, upon examination, are shown to be perpetrated against activists, whistleblowers, and those who have spoken out against corruption. The consequences are severe. Most targets lose their jobs, homes, and/or their families. Many end up on dangerous medications or institutionalized. Some end up committing suicide or homicide.



**Of course, the implications for humanity are frightening. Is it possible we are heading toward a synthetic reality, where people's thoughts, conversations, hopes, dreams, illnesses, major life events are controlled by supercomputers and handlers, all without their knowledge?**

Is our society becoming one in which no-one can be trusted, with everyone spying on everyone else? With a large percentage of the population becoming government informants and spying collaborators?

Are we developing a class of people with 'less' rights, who can be harassed at will, even as we all slowly have our rights eroded? If so, doesn't that sound like a totalitarian regime such as Nazi Germany?



Artwork © Frank Heiler\_Breaking the Dark

## WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE

### ABOUT THE PROGRAM?

**Several things. First of all, targets must speak out.** Especially those who have their wits about them. This program is highly disruptive, and is specifically designed to make even the most solid citizen look as if they have become delusional. Compounding the problem, many targets are either forced to, or willingly take powerful psychiatric medications in hopes of decreasing the severe attacks they are suffering, or to appease skeptical friends and family members. In the case of real mental illness, this should resolve the symptoms. **In the case of TI's, it does not stop the torture and harassment and manipulation of their lives.**

So, that leads to the next point. If you know someone who this is happening to – don't count them as crazy. They may be a victim of this program. Recognize that the issues they were speaking about before they got into their current situation are still just as valid now as they were before. In fact, it was probably the very legitimacy of their issues that led powerful forces to want to discredit them so thoroughly. Remember that.

**Whistleblowers must come forward who are aware of this program.**

Fearless journalists must pursue the story. It was investigative journalists who brought widespread attention to MK-ULTRA, COINTELPRO, and much of the unethical experimentation that has occurred in the USA.

Targets must come together. There is strength in numbers. Targets should never give up the fight.

Finally, Congress **MUST** thoroughly investigate the intelligence agencies, DOD research programs, and black operations. The targeted individual program is happening. It's not science fiction. It needs to be **EXPOSED AND SHUT DOWN FOREVER.**

Thank you for your attention.

*Text © Dr. Daniel Lebowitz*



Artwork © Frank Heiler\_A way out

**'TIS are owned and rented (Human Trafficked) TO MULTIPLE ENTITIES FOR MULTIPLE PURPOSES.'**

*Max Williams and Jeffery Bahry, TI Testimonies*

*Max Williams – February, 2023*

Friends,

As a result of my experiences with targeting over the many years, I now believe that we are used for multi-purposes. Think about all of the areas of our bodies and our minds that our attackers tamper with and probe: the brain itself, our internal organs, our limbs and hands and feet, the orchestrated scenarios or 'dreams', emphasis in many cases on sex (the programming to attempt to turn our thoughts to deviant sex, to change our sexual orientation, to think of everything in a sexual context, etc), our balance and hand-to-eye coordination, the interrogations for the V2K victims, our sciatic nerve and spinal column, even our daily habits, our method of entertainment, and many other areas.

If I am accurate in believing that we are multi-purposed, that belief opens doors to who might be behind our targeting. Who would be interested in and benefit from studying those areas listed above?

(1) Medical research institutes, including psychiatric clinics, would love to have real test subjects for studying and perhaps experimenting on various parts of the brain and their functions, our body nerves and circulation system, and our senses.

(2) Human studies institutes would be interested in our thoughts about and interactions with others.

(3) Government agencies such as CIA, FBI, DHS, the NSA would want to know how we think, what we think, and what we do as a result of how and what we think. They would also want to enhance their interrogation techniques. And they most certainly would want to know how they can manipulate human behavior by remote.

(4) Law enforcement would want to know how they can use targeting for control purposes, to predict crime and to interrogate suspects.

(5) Our personal adversaries (for whatever reason) would like to punish us for doing, saying, or writing something that they do not like. What better way to accomplish that than by using remote physical and neurological attacks upon their perceived enemies.

(6) Entertainment is a trillion dollar industry. Some of that entertainment is perverse. It's entirely possible to consider that we might have a paying, invisible audience watching us as their own reality show, and we are the actors.

Once we are targeted, our body/mind invaders possess incredible power. They can decide who actually gets to operate the remote means to read our thoughts, hear our voices in a two-way communication system, play with our emotions, torture our bodies and minds, see what we do and where we go, watch who we interact with, etc. Money and greed can kick in that this point. It would be very tempting for the attackers who have targeted us to rent us out for the purposes already stated. The 'owners' of the targets would have to determine which of the 'renters' deal with which area. Although all groups would be able to see and hear us, all the groups would not, for example, be able to use V2K on us or read our minds. Each group would have to be assigned a particular area of usage that meets its purpose(s).

If we are indeed leased out to various individuals, groups, or organizations, those entities would not necessarily know about the other watchers/users. Actually, it probably would not matter if they did. Each group would watch (and sometimes interact with us) for its own reason(s). If I am right about our being multi-purposed, we have only one 'owner', but several or many viewers.

Just a few thoughts, based on fifty years of targeting experience, studying, observations, and communications with other targets.

*Max Williams*



***Jeffrey Bahry – February, 2023***

As I have written over the years – I know for a fact that I am being marketed and sold for many purposes to many paying customers since 1985. I am certain that this is the case for a large percentage of victims.

Our attackers market our knowledge and personal abilities which can be transferred to paying customers and others via electronic brain link. We are being tried out by persons and customers that want to use or purchase us for a period of time. Some are long term.

In addition – they do have a variety of audiences which view us as amusement and entertainment. There are sick audiences that enjoy watching when we are being attacked – tortured or forced to do things.

*Jeffrey Bahry*

***(Originally published: [gangstalkingmindcontrolcults.com](http://gangstalkingmindcontrolcults.com))***

ALL ARTWORK



Artwork © Frank Heiler\_Secret Place

## FRANK HEILER

Frank Heiler is a self-taught artist, specializing in surrealist and horror art. He was born and raised in a small town in Southern New Jersey. He grew up around artists in his immediate family, which had a major influence on him as a child. He always had an innate drive to create, several years ago this began to manifest itself in dark, obsessively detailed ink drawings, and then shortly after, paintings of the same quality.

He often paints his subjects as if they are distorted and twisted by their darkest, deepest emotions, their very realities shifting and collapsing beneath them, altered by their own thoughts.

**[frankheilerartwork.bigcartel.com](http://frankheilerartwork.bigcartel.com)**

**HUMAN RIGHTS**



**VOICES**

## **HUMAN RIGHTS | Voices by FUTURE VOICE**

Our series HUMAN RIGHTS | Voices is dedicated with digital magazines to elementary values of being Human and the Human Rights – journalistically, philosophically, artistically. We bring together different Voices on the respective topics and address a target group that welcomes inspiration for independent thinking and action.

FUTURE VOICE is a small Human Rights organisation based in Berlin, Germany.

### **Find out more:**

[WWW.FUTUREVOICE.ORG](http://WWW.FUTUREVOICE.ORG)

### **This is the 12th Magazine for our series.**

You can find the magazine-view and our further publications for the series here:

[HUMAN RIGHTS | VOICES](#)

### **Support our work:**

Our work is available for free,  
in order to provide access to all interested parties.

You can support our next projects for the series here!

[SUPPORT OUR WORK](#)

## IMPRINT

**HUMAN RIGHTS | Voices**  
**Magazine XII - 05 | 2023**

### **COGNITIVE WARFARE**

All copyrights are presented in the magazine.

#### **Cover Artwork**

Front: Artwork © Frank Heiler\_Stranglehold

Back: Artwork © Frank Heiler\_Epilogue

**Legally accountable: Project, idea, concept, graphics, text**  
© **FUTURE VOICE | [www.futurevoice.org](http://www.futurevoice.org)**

Use/dissemination is only permitted in a non-commercial context  
and only for the magazine as a whole. The use of extracts is not permitted.

This issue has not been released for printing.

© FUTURE VOICE, All rights reserved



